

# On the Representation of Quantum Mechanics on a Classical Sample Space

Werner Stulpe<sup>1</sup>

Received July 4, 1997

---

Under the common viewpoint of statistical maps, the concept of observables in quantum mechanics and in classical probability theory are discussed and compared. It is shown that, by means of injective statistical maps, quantum mechanics can to a certain extent be reformulated in classical terms. Some characteristic examples are considered.

---

## 1. QUANTUM MECHANICS AND CLASSICAL PROBABILITY THEORY

Hilbert-space quantum mechanics is based on the statistical duality  $\langle \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H}) \rangle$  consisting of the space of the self-adjoint trace-class operators and the space of the bounded self-adjoint operators in a nontrivial, complex separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , where the duality is given by the trace functional according to  $\langle V, A \rangle := \text{tr } V A$ . The space  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$  is generated by the convex set  $K(\mathcal{H})$  of all density operators;  $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  is generated by the order-unit interval  $[0, 1]$ , which is also a convex set. A density operator  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$  describes a quantum state, i.e., a statistical ensemble, and an operator  $A \in [0, 1]$  an effect, i.e., a class of statistically equivalent yes–no experiments; the number  $\text{tr } W A \in [0, 1]$  is interpreted to be the probability for the outcome ‘yes’ of the effect  $A$  in a state  $W$ .

Let  $M$  be a nonempty set,  $(M, \Xi)$  a measurable space, and  $K(M, \Xi)$  the convex set of all probability measures on  $\Xi$ . An affine map  $T: K(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K(M, \Xi)$  is called a *statistical map*. Such a map describes a quantum observable with value space  $M$  where  $TW$  is the probability distribution of that observable

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Berlin, D-10623 Berlin, Germany. This work is dedicated to Prof. Wolfgang Muschik of TU Berlin on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

in the state  $W$ . It is well known and not hard to prove that  $T$  can be represented according to

$$(TW)(B) = \text{tr } WF(B) =: P_W^F(B) \quad (1)$$

where  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $B \in \Xi$ , and  $F: \Xi \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a uniquely determined normalized POV, respectively, effect-valued measure. Conversely, every such measure  $F$  defines a statistical map. We call  $F$  an *observable on*  $(M, \Xi)$  and  $P_W^F$  its *probability distribution in the state*  $W$ .

The observables on a fixed measurable space  $(M, \Xi)$  form a convex set. The more common projection-valued observables, i.e., the normalized PV measures on  $(M, \Xi)$ , are extreme points of this set; however, in general there are still other extreme points. For an observable  $F$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \Xi(\mathbb{R}))$ , where  $\Xi(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the *expectation value in a state*  $W$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F \rangle_W &:= \int \xi P_W^F(d\xi) = \int \xi \text{tr } WF(d\xi) \\ &= \text{tr} \left( W \int \xi F(d\xi) \right) \\ &= \text{tr } WA \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

provided that at least the first integral exists. We have even assumed that the integral  $\int \xi \text{tr } WF(d\xi) =: A \in \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  exists in the weak sense; however,  $F$  need not be a PV measure. In the sense of equation (2), every bounded, self-adjoint operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  can be interpreted as an observable.

Classical probability theory is considered here to be based on the statistical duality  $\langle \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma), \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma) \rangle$  consisting of the space of the bounded signed measures and the space of the bounded measurable functions on a nontrivial measurable space  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , where the duality is given by the integral according to  $\langle \nu, f \rangle := \int f d\nu$  and  $\Omega$  is interpreted as a classical sample space with the elements of  $\Sigma$  as events. In contrast to the statistical duality of quantum mechanics,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is in general a proper subspace of the dual Banach space  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)'$ . The space  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is generated by the convex set  $K(\Omega, \Sigma)$  of all probability measures on  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is generated by the order-unit interval  $[0, \chi_{\Omega}]$ , where  $\chi_{\Omega}(\omega) := 1$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . The probability for the outcome ‘yes’ of an *effect*  $f \in [0, \chi_{\Omega}]$  in a classical ensemble  $\mu \in K(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is  $\int f d\mu$ ; the particular effects given by the characteristic functions  $\chi_A$ ,  $A \in \Sigma$ , correspond to the events.

Analogously to the quantum case, we call an affine map  $T: K(\Omega, \Sigma) \rightarrow K(M, \Xi)$  a *statistical map*. We call such a statistical map *regular* if  $T' \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \Xi) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , where  $T': \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \Xi)' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)'$  is the adjoint of the unique extension of  $T$  to a (bounded) linear map from  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  into

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, \Xi)$ . It is shown in Stulpe (1986) and Bugajski *et al.* (1996) that a regular statistical map  $T$  can be represented according to

$$(T\mu)(B) = \int k(\omega, B) \mu(d\omega) =: P_{\mu}^k(B) \quad (3)$$

where  $\mu \in K(\Omega, \Sigma)$ ,  $B \in \Xi$ , and  $k: \Omega \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a Markov kernel, i.e.,  $k(\cdot, B)$  is a measurable function for each  $B \in \Xi$ , and  $k(\omega, \cdot) \in K(M, \Xi)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Conversely, every such kernel defines a regular statistical map. We interpret the Markov kernels on  $\Omega \times \Xi$  as the classical observables with value space  $M$ ; in this context we call a kernel  $k$  a *fuzzy random variable* and  $P_{\mu}^k$  its *probability distribution in the statistical ensemble*  $\mu$ .

Equation (3) is the analog of equation (1), and the Markov kernels are the classical analogs of the POV measures. On the one hand,  $B \mapsto k(\cdot, B)$  is in fact an effect-valued measure; on the other hand,  $k(\omega, \cdot)$  is the probability distribution of the observable  $k$  in the pure ensemble  $\mu = \delta_{\omega}$ , where  $\delta_{\omega}$  denotes the Dirac measure corresponding to  $\omega \in \Omega$ . The fact that the measuring values of  $k$  may disperse even in an ensemble of systems in the same state  $\omega$  explains the name *fuzzy random variable*; note that the measuring values of the observable  $k$  lie in  $M$ , whereas the values of the map  $k$  are numbers of  $[0, 1]$ .

Every *standard* random variable  $X: \Omega \rightarrow M$  gives rise to a Markov kernel taking only the values 0 and 1 according to

$$k^X(\omega, B) := \chi_{X^{-1}(B)}(\omega) = \chi_B(X(\omega)) = \delta_{X(\omega)}(B) = P_{\delta_{\omega}}^X(B) \quad (4)$$

where  $P_{\delta_{\omega}}^X$  is the probability distribution of  $X$  in the pure ensemble  $\delta_{\omega}$ . If the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Xi$  contains all one-point subsets  $\{x\}$  of  $M$ , different random variables yield different Markov kernels, i.e.,  $k^{X_1} = k^{X_2}$  implies  $X_1 = X_2$ . Hence, the usual random variables can be understood as particular fuzzy random variables. It is proved in Stulpe (1986) and Bugajski *et al.* (1996) that under some slight additional assumptions equation (4) defines a one–one correspondence between the random variables  $X: \Omega \rightarrow M$  and the Markov kernels  $k_0: \Omega \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, 1]$  taking only the values 0 and 1 and that, moreover, these kernels  $k_0$  are just the extreme points of the convex set of all Markov kernels  $k: \Omega \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Thus, the standard random variables can be viewed as the extreme points of the convex set of all fuzzy random variables with the same outcome space.

## 2. CLASSICAL REPRESENTATIONS

We call an injective statistical map  $T: K(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K(\Omega, \Sigma)$  a *classical representation of quantum mechanics on*  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . A statistical map  $T: K(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow$

$K(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is injective if and only if the observable  $F$  on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  that corresponds to  $T$  according to (1) separates the states, i.e., if and only if for any two  $W_1, W_2 \in K(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $P_{W_1}^F = P_{W_2}^F$  implies  $W_1 = W_2$ . Such observables are called *statistically complete* or *informationally complete* (e.g., Ali and Prugovečki, 1977a,b). The remarkable fact that statistically complete observables, respectively, classical representations of quantum mechanics, do exist can be concluded from the norm-separability of Hilbert space, as we are going to show.

According to the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, the closed unit ball  $[-1, 1]$  of  $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})'$  is  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ -weakly compact. Furthermore, because of the norm-separability of  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ , which is a consequence of the norm-separability of  $\mathcal{H}$ , the  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ -weak topology is metrizable on  $[-1, 1]$ . Hence, as a metrizable compact space,  $[-1, 1]$  is  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ -weakly separable; likewise, the order-unit interval  $[0, 1]$  is  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ -weakly separable. Now take a sequence  $\tilde{A}_n \in [0, 1]$  being  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ -weakly dense in  $[0, 1]$  and define a further sequence by

$$A_1 := 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \tilde{A}_j$$

$$A_n := \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \tilde{A}_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

Then  $A_n \in [0, 1]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = 1$  hold, where the sum is even norm-convergent, and the linear hull of all  $A_n$  is  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ -weakly dense in  $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ . Hence, according to

$$TW := (\text{tr } WA_1, \text{tr } WA_2, \dots)$$

a classical representation  $T$  on  $(\mathbb{N}, \Xi(\mathbb{N}))$  is defined, where  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$  and the probability vector  $TW$  is considered as a probability measure on the power set  $\Xi(\mathbb{N})$  of the discrete sample space  $\mathbb{N}$ . The corresponding statistically complete observable  $F$  on  $(\mathbb{N}, \Xi(\mathbb{N}))$  is given by

$$F(B) = \sum_{j \in B} A_j$$

where  $B \in \Xi(\mathbb{N})$ .

Now let  $T$  be an arbitrary classical representation on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , respectively, its unique extension to a linear map from  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$  into  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , and let  $T': \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  be the adjoint of  $T$ . From the fact that  $T' \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is a  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ -dense subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ , the validity of the following theorem follows (Singer and Stulpe, 1992).

*Theorem.* For every bounded, self-adjoint operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ , every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and any finitely many states  $W_1, \dots, W_m \in K(\mathcal{H})$  there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  such that

$$\left| \operatorname{tr} W_i A - \int f d\mu_i \right| < \varepsilon$$

holds, where  $\mu_i := TW_i = P_{W_i}^f$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ).

This result signifies a far-reaching reformulation of the statistical scheme of quantum mechanics in terms of the classical sample space  $\Omega$ . Namely, probabilities and expectation values which appear in reality as relative frequencies and mean values can be calculated on the basis of Hilbert space and in principle also on the basis of  $\Omega$ , the latter involving real-valued standard random variables  $f$ .

Quantum dynamics can also be reformulated classically. The time development of a state  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$  is given by some Hamiltonian  $H$  according to

$$t \mapsto W_t := \tau_t W := e^{-iHt} W e^{iHt} \quad (5)$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau_t$  is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms of the state space  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$ . Introducing the infinitesimal generator  $Z$  of  $\tau_t$ , we find that (5) satisfies

$$W_t = ZW_t \quad (6)$$

provided that the initial state  $W$  belongs to the domain  $D(Z)$  of  $Z$  [for an explicit characterization of  $D(Z)$  and  $Z$ , see Davies (1976); we remark that  $K(\mathcal{H}) \cap D(Z)$  is dense in  $K(\mathcal{H})$ ]. Using a classical representation  $T$  on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , we can write (5) in the equivalent form

$$t \mapsto \mu_t := TW_t = T\tau_t T^{-1} \mu = \delta_t \mu \quad (7)$$

where  $T^{-1}$  is defined on the range  $T\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$  of  $T$ ,  $\mu := TW$ , and  $\delta_t = T\tau_t T^{-1}$ . Note that in general  $T\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H})$  is not a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  and that the operators  $T^{-1}$  and  $\delta_t$  need not be bounded; however, (7) is a solution of the equation

$$\dot{\mu}_t = L\mu_t \quad (8)$$

where the derivative is taken in the total-variation norm of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  and  $L := TZT^{-1}$ . Equation (8) is the reformulation of the von Neumann equation (6) in terms of the sample space  $\Omega$  (for details, see Stulpe, 1996).

In the case of a finite-dimensional Hilbert space our results can be sharpened considerably. If  $n := \dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_s(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\dim \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H}) = n^2 =: N$ . It is proved in Busch *et al.* (1993) that there exist linearly

independent operators  $A_1, \dots, A_N \in \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  fulfilling  $A_j \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^N A_j = 1$ . Hence, according to

$$TW := (\text{tr } WA_1, \dots, \text{tr } WA_N) \quad (9)$$

a classical representation  $T$  on  $(\Omega, \Xi(\Omega))$  is defined where  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$  and the probability vector  $TW$  is considered as a probability measure on the power set  $\Xi(\Omega)$  of the finite discrete sample space  $\Omega := \{1, \dots, N\}$ . Although  $T$  does obviously not map  $K(\mathcal{H})$  onto  $K(\Omega, \Xi(\Omega))$ , the linear extension of  $T$  is bijective, and so is  $T': \mathcal{F}_R(\Omega, \Xi(\Omega)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ . Every classical representation of finite-dimensional quantum mechanics with a bijective linear extension is of the form (9), where  $A_1, \dots, A_N$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  as specified above. In particular, for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  there exists a uniquely determined function  $a \in \mathcal{F}_R(\Omega, \Xi(\Omega))$ , namely  $a := (T')^{-1} A$ , such that for all  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$

$$\text{tr } WA = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j a_j$$

holds, where  $p_j$  are the components of the probability vector  $p := TW$  and  $a_j$  are the values of the discrete standard random variable  $a$ .

A classical reformulation of finite-dimensional quantum mechanics related to ours was given by Coecke (1995). For the general case, Beltrametti and Bugajski (1995a, b) presented a classical reformulation that is based on the representation of quantum observables, respectively, POV measures by fuzzy random variables, in contrast to ours, which is based on the representation of quantum states by probability measures. Namely, if  $\Omega$  is supposed to be the set of all pure states of  $K(\mathcal{H})$ , equipped with some suitable topology and the corresponding Borel structure  $\Xi(\Omega)$ , then an injective affine map from the observables  $F$  on  $(M, \Xi)$  into the fuzzy random variables  $k: \Omega \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$k(P_\psi, B) := \text{tr } P_\psi F(B) = \langle \psi | F(B) | \psi \rangle$$

where  $P_\psi := |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|\psi\| = 1$ , and  $B \in \Xi$ .

### 3. SOME EXAMPLES

First, for spinless particles moving in one spatial direction, we consider *classical representations on phase space*. Let  $u \in \mathcal{H} := L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  be a function of norm one and define  $u_{qp}(x) := e^{ipx} u(x - q)$  for  $q, p \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is well known that a covariant *joint position–momentum observable*  $F$  on the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is defined by the weak integral

$$F(B) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_B |u_{qp}\rangle\langle u_{qp}| dq dp$$

where  $B \in \Xi(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a Borel set (see, e.g., Davies, 1976); for a suitable choice of  $u$ ,  $F$  is statistically complete (Ali and Prugovečki, 1977a, b). The corresponding classical representation  $T$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \Xi(\mathbb{R}^2))$  can be replaced by the map  $\hat{T}$  assigning to each  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$  the (continuous) probability density  $\rho$  of  $TW = P_W^F$ ,  $\rho(q, p) := (1/2\pi)\langle u_{qp}|Wu_{qp}\rangle$ . In particular, equation (8) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{\rho}_t = \hat{L}\rho_t$$

where the derivative is taken in the  $L^1$ -norm and the operator  $\hat{L}$  is related to the classical Liouville operator  $-\{H, \cdot\}$  [e.g., Prugovečki (1984); for a rigorous discussion of the harmonic oscillator in this context, see Stulpe (1996)].

Our second example concerns *continuous classical representations* for spin-1/2 systems. Let  $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $n \cdot S$  be the operator of spin in direction  $n \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\|n\| = 1$ , such that

$$n \cdot S\phi_{\pm n} = \frac{1}{2} n \cdot \sigma\phi_{\pm n} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\pm n}$$

holds, where  $\|\phi_n\| = 1$ . Taking account of  $|\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| = \frac{1}{2}(1 + n \cdot \sigma)$ , it follows that an observable  $F$  on the sphere  $S^2$  is defined by

$$F(B) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_B |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| \kappa(dn)$$

where  $B \in \Xi(S^2)$  is a Borel set and  $\kappa$  the rotationally invariant measure on  $S^2$  normalized to its area; obviously,  $F$  is a statistically complete covariant *joint spin observable* (e.g., Schroeck, 1982). Again, the corresponding classical representation can be characterized by a map  $\hat{T}$  assigning to each  $W \in K(\mathcal{H})$  a (continuous) probability density  $\rho$ . The adjoint of  $T$ ,  $\hat{T}': L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(S^2, \kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$ , is explicitly given by

$$\hat{T}'f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int f(n)|\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| \kappa(dn) \quad (10)$$

Since  $\hat{T}$  is injective and  $\mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  finite-dimensional,  $\hat{T}'$  is surjective. Hence, we have proved that every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_s(\mathcal{H})$  can be represented according to (10).

Finally, we consider *discrete classical representations* for spin-1/2 systems. Let  $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be four unit vectors with directions determining the vertices of a regular tetrahedron and define  $A_j := \frac{1}{2}|\phi_{n_j}\rangle\langle\phi_{n_j}| = \frac{1}{4}(1 + n_j \cdot \sigma)$ . From  $\sum_{j=1}^4 n_j = 0$  we obtain  $\sum_{j=1}^4 A_j = 1$ ; hence, the positive operators  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  constitute a discrete observable  $F$ . The probability distribution of  $F$  in the states  $\phi_{n_1}$  and  $\phi_{-n_1}$ , for instance, is given by the probability vectors  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$  and  $(0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ , respectively; in particular,  $F$  is a statistically complete *discrete joint spin observable*. The corresponding classical represen-

tation  $T$  is, together with those obtained by orthogonal transformation of the directions  $n_j$ , distinguished under all classical representations of the form (9) with

$N = 4$  since the image  $TK(\mathcal{H})$  is a three-dimensional Euclidean ball inside of the tetrahedron of all probability vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , centered at  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$  and being tangent to that tetrahedron.

## REFERENCES

- Ali, S. T., and Prugovečki, E. (1977a). Systems of imprimitivity and representations of quantum mechanics on fuzzy phase spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **18**, 219–228.
- Ali, S. T., and Prugovečki, E. (1977b). Classical and quantum statistical mechanics in a common Liouville space, *Physica*, **89A**, 501–531.
- Beltrametti, E. G., and Bugajski, S. (1995a). A classical extension of quantum mechanics, *Journal of Physics A*, **28**, 3329–3343.
- Beltrametti, E. G., and Bugajski, S. (1995b). Quantum observables in classical frameworks, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **34**, 1221–1229.
- Bugajski, S., Hellwig, K.-E., and Stulpe, W. (1996). On fuzzy random variables and statistical maps, preprint.
- Busch, P., Hellwig, K.-E., and Stulpe, W. (1993). On classical representations of finite-dimensional quantum mechanics, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **32**, 399–405.
- Coecke, B. (1995). Hidden measurement model for pure and mixed states of quantum physics in Euclidean space, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **34**, 1313–1320.
- Davies, E. B. (1976). *Quantum Theory of Open Systems*, Academic Press, London.
- Prugovečki, E. (1984). *Stochastic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Space-time*, Reidel, Dordrecht.
- Schroeck, F. E., Jr. (1982). On the stochastic measurement of incompatible spin components, *Foundations of Physics*, **12**, 479–497.
- Singer M., and Stulpe, W. (1992). Phase-space representations of general statistical physical theories, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **33**, 131–142.
- Stulpe, W. (1986). Bedingte Erwartungen und stochastische Prozesse in der generalisierten Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie—Beschreibung sukzessiver Messungen mit zufälligem Ausgang, Thesis, Berlin.
- Stulpe W. (1996). Classical representations of quantum mechanics based on statistically complete observables, Habilitation Thesis, Berlin.